App. F. IX-4

Previous References
VP 27-30 86 3 & 4

1932-33 V
(VP.)

Record

File No. 31-32

Serial Nos. 8, 4-28

Later References

p. 8(E)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. Two

Part B for Feby 1933.

SUBJECT.

Proposal of the Kaivara V.P. in the Chintamani Tk to levy an optional tax of Rs 6-12-0 per annum per

8 28)

To the Rev Comr & the Comptroller
G.O No 5239-40. dt 4-2-33.

Sam chins

4-2-33

V.P 31 — 32 — 8

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER,
IN MYSORE,

No. C.1247/32-33. one tonefile

Dated, Bangalore, 10th October 1932.

FROM

S.P. Rajagopalachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
The Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honour to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, has reported in his letter No. A-10-C.145/32-33 dated 21st September 1932 (copy enclosed) that the Kaivara Village Panchayet, Chintamani Taluk, has resolved, after observing the prescribed formalities to levy an optional tax of Rs.6-12-0 under Section 57 of the Village Panchayet Regulation and recommended that sanction may be accorded thereto. I request that sanction of Government may be kindly obtained to the levy of the optional tax by this Village Panchayet.

The enclosures received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter accompany for reference.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Assistant Commissioner,
for Revenue Commissioner,
Absent from the station.

Subd

In the notice dt. 26.3.32, it is said that tax is said to be Rs 6/12 p.a. per 'Kula'. It is not clear whether this term signifies an individual or a family. In any case it appears necessary to exempt children, & women without any independent source of income from the levy. The proposal may perhaps be sanctioned with this observation

Please put up previous files in which such exemption was given

3 VP 27-30-4 re the levy of an optional tax by the Nidagesi V.P. is put up. No other case ... 1933

Letter No. A.10-C.145/32-33 dated 21st September 1932 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

--

Levy of optional tax in Kaivara Village Panchayet, Chintamani Taluk.

--

I have the honour to state that the Kaivara Village Panchayet, Chintamani Taluk, resolved on 26th March 1932 to levy optional taxes under Section 37 of the Village Panchayet Regulation. A notification was issued on the same day calling for objections. As there were no objections the Panchayet finally resolved on 6th July 1932 to levy optional tax. The resolution has been attested by 2/3rds of the Village Panchayet Members. I request you to be kind enough to obtain the sanction of Government for the levy of optional taxes under Section 37 V.P.R.

--

"COPY"

For Revenue Commissioner.

Levying special tax by Kawara V.P. Chittoor DK
1751

Serial No.

5 | 4 Please peruse rule 25 of the Women and minors who have no independent source of income may be exempted. In the case of other individuals, the head of the family may be made liable to pay for all the members of the family.

5 Rule 4 of the rules issued under Sec 37(1) of the V.P. Regn does not contemplate the exemption of women & boys, but fixes a smaller value for their work.

A | 6 Please furnish references, if any, in which exemptions have been granted to Women & In para 2 of the G.O of G.M.S. 30 in the file put up it was decided that the Village Panchayat may be asked to consider whether children & women who have no independent source of income may be exempted from the levy. Letter rejects, to show what the V.P decided & on what orders were finally passed in the matter may also be put up.

14/11

A & B | No rejects are traceable,

18/11

4 Please furnish draft sanctioning the Revenue recommendations.

28/11

Draft Submitted, for approval.

25/11

29/11

SECRETARY'S GENERAL 30 NOV. 1932 No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31.

151 3281

should not the optg be for some purpose to be specified. Local Govt

Law Sec. M

11/12 for 12/12

11 A Copy of the Rules framed under the V.P. Reg. may kindly be put up for refee
12 L.S.G. Br. with Comp.

ISD 21/12 [illegible] 13) 22.12.32

13 A Copy of the V.P. Manual was put up for refee in another case referred to the Law Section for opinion. The Book may be retained in that section.
14 Prot. Br. with Comp.

(assignment of a portion of the surplus profits of a Panchayat to the V.P.)

22/12/32

Under sec 37 of the V.P. Reg. the Panchayat is empowered to levy an optional tax with the previous Sanction of Govt for the discharge any of the duties imposed on it. The duties of the V. Panchayat are described under sec 20 and classed into obligatory and discretionary

Serial No.

16 It is not known for which of these duties no tax now proposed is required. This may perhaps be ascertained before according sanction.

ISD 24/1

17 Under sec 37(1) a panchayat may levy an optional tax for the discharge any of the duties imposed on it. The tax need not be levied for any specific purpose. The obligatory duties are defined under sec 20 & the discretionary duties under sec 21. Evidently the obligatory taxes levied under sec 36 are not sufficient by this panchayat for the performance of its duties & it wants more money. The law does not require the purpose to be specified. But if the Administration Branch wants information on this point for according sanction, it may be ascertained

Ce 24/1/33

(L.S.G. Br.)

The draft G.O. is put up in accordance with the R.C.S recommendation and sent for approval and the order issued. It is not necessary to ascertain information from the purpose for which the tax is re

24.1.33 A/ 19 Have we any rules regarding the finances of the V.P. & other

B/ which has surplus balances or has its funds to be augmented & carried on its obligatory duties. If such

C/ in the records why not ascertain now? Then and the income

b/ which these taxes are levied. 27/1/33

NOTE—Contn. Sh

WD 70—GBPM—50,000—13-8-31.

Levy of assessment in Kurisan village Panchayat Chittanoor

VP File No. 37 of 1932-33 General and Revenue Secretariat 179

Subd

130 No information is available in regard to the limits noted at A 11 supra in regard to 6, kind reference is invited to the proceedings of the village Panchayat in the file on the subject and to letter No L 8 29 4/VP. 32-28-4 dated 14-6-1929 printed at page 107 of the V.P. manual. The tax now proposed comes under this category. The village Panchayat have observed the formalities prescribed under the proviso to Sec 37 of the Regulation and it has been recommended by the Deputy Commr. supported by the Rev Commr. - ~~In these cases~~ there is no legal objection to the levy also in these cases, the draft G.O. putup is for approval -

Sri 93 The draft G.O. may be approved.

27.1.33. 28/1

Dept Secy 29/1/33

Secretariat Council. 2 3 3 No. 6.2.6.7

24 may be approved.

Second member

Commr. 1.2.33

Minister 2/2/33

Minister 3.2.33

The Rev Commr with records

Complete file

No L 5-929-GO dated 7.2.33 9/2/33

Sanctions the R.C.S recommendation

G.R..S VI G 34]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section VP

File No. 31-32

Serial No. 28

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue..... 7/2/33

Signed by.....

Read—

Letter no C 1247/32-33 dt

the Re. in Mysore recommending

sanction to the proposal of the

Koivara village Panchayat in the

Chintamani tk to levy an additional

tax of Rs 6/12/- pa. under Sec

37 of the V.P. Regn

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette.

English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette,

Kannada Part ?

Order ~~Thereon~~ No. 2 5239-40 dt 7/2/33

Sanc

3 copies

To the Rev. Comm^r with records.

The Compt

Asst Secy

MBT

7.2.33

Epitome

ab

Letter No. C. 1247 of 1932-33 dated the 5th October 1932 from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, recommending sanction to the proposal of the Kaivara village Panchayet in the Chintamani Taluk to levy an optional tax of Rs. 6-12-0 per annum under Section 37 of the Village Panchayet Regulation.

Order No. L-5239-40

Dated, Bangalore, the 6th February 1933.
7

S a n c t i o n e d .

M. S. Krishnamachari
Jr. General Secretary to Government.

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore with records.

The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

C.R.G.3.